The Reliability of Digital Imaging in the Remote Assessment of Wounds
Defining a Standard
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Context: Telemedicine has been used for remote management of many medical problems. Given the ever-expanding demands to provide increasing service with increasingly limited resources, quality care and practice efficiency can be enhanced by telemedicine.

Objective: This study was undertaken to explore the reliability of wound assessment using computer-transmitted digital imagery compared with a traditional bedside evaluation and also to assess its potential role in healthcare delivery.

Design/Setting/Patients: In the hospital setting, rounding vascular surgeons and a surgical resident evaluated the wounds on the service. A digital photograph was obtained with a 3.3 megapixel camera, and a wound-assessment tool was completed. A plastic surgery attending then reviewed the images at a later date and completed the same data tool.

Main Outcome Measures: Wounds were rated for eschar, exposed bone, cellulitis, purulence, swelling, granulation tissue, granulation color, and depth using a standardized data collection tool. χ statistics were computed for all variables, between raters.

Results: There were 2 phases of the study. In both phases, there was 100% agreement by the rounding physicians that the digital image was representative of the wound. Phase 1 agreement between evaluators was moderate to almost perfect, as demonstrated by χ values (range, 0.50–0.87). In phase 2, all variable χ values were rated as almost perfect, except the ability to evaluate depth of the wound to the millimeter, which was rated as substantial.

Conclusions: The ability to accurately evaluate a wound on the basis of a digital image is possible. However, it requires training of participants and is facilitated by use of an assessment tool. With these caveats, evaluation of wounds using digital images is equivalent to bedside examination. This technology can improve practice efficiency, provide needed expertise at remote sites, and is an acceptable alternative method of wound assessment.
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Telemedicine has been used for remote management of many medical problems such as congestive heart failure and diabetes mellitus. “Nighthawk” technology allows radiologists at remote sites and in different time zones to evaluate radiologic studies. In surgery, telemedicine is used in trauma resuscitation at distant sites and for telerobotic procedures.1–7 The potential of telemedicine in wound care has been even recognized by Reuters Health News.8 Interestingly, the need for widespread investment in technology to provide “home and ambulatory monitoring of patients with chronic disease” is a priority second only to provision of hospital electronic medical records.9 Although intuitively valuable, this modality has yet to be accepted as an appropriate standard of care. This study was performed to explore the reliability of wound assessment at a distant site using computer-transmitted digital imagery compared with a traditional bedside evaluation in a multidisciplinary system. Specifically, clinical assessment of inpatient wounds provided by vascular surgeons and surgical resident were correlated with an evaluation performed by a blinded senior plastic surgeon studying digital images of those wounds. Further, we explore the potential implementation of this technology in a regional healthcare system.

METHODS

This study was conducted in an 800-bed academic community hospital. Study subjects were selected from the vascular surgery service. Criterion for inclusion was a wound of an extremity or torso in a hospitalized patient. In phase 1, 5 board-certified vascular surgeons, 2 board-certified plastic surgeons, and a postgraduate year (PGY)-3 plastic surgery resident participated. Phase 2 of the study was limited to 2 vascular attending surgeons, 1 plastic surgery attending, and a PGY-5 plastic surgery resident.
The camera used was a standard Sony S-75 3.3 mega pixel digital camera (Sony, Inc; Japan) with a 128-Mb smart media card. This camera was chosen because it is affordable, has digital zoom 5×, and a Carl Zeiss lens. Cameras of greater than 1.5 megapixels have shown to be sufficiently clear for medical photography.3 This particular media card allowed over 100 pictures to be taken at the given camera settings.

The guidelines of Galdino et al10 were followed. All images were taken using the macro function of the camera with 1 megabyte JPEG settings on fine resolution (the highest available for the camera). Matrix metering (camera default) and automatic white balance (camera default) were chosen. A light blue background was used for contrast: a sterile towel, plastic chuck, or diaper, depending upon availability. Automatic low flash was employed, given standard hospital room lighting. Photographs were taken an average of 18 to 24 inches from the patient. A disposable paper ruler was included in the picture to place the date and medical record number of the patient in the picture.

Informed consent was obtained from each patient to allow pictures of their wounds to be included in the study. Each patient underwent a visual assessment by the vascular attending surgeon and the plastic surgery resident. In both phases of the study, the assessment was followed by independent completion of the wound survey questionnaire rating eschar, exposed bone, cellulitis, purulence, swelling, granulation, color, and depth (Fig. 1). In phase 2, the data sheets were modified to remove pitting edema and substitute “swelling” in its stead. The digital photograph, taken by the resident, was shown to the attending vascular surgeon. The attending surgeon then determined whether the digital image was comparable to the physical examination.

All pictures in both phases of the study were reviewed at a remote location in blinded fashion by the senior attending plastic surgeon, who never personally examined any patient. Pictures were reviewed on a PC-compatible computer with a flat 17-inch Sony screen, which have been found to be acceptable to view wound pictures.11 Reviewers were allowed to use the zoom capability of the Windows Picture Viewer (Microsoft, Inc.), and the wound evaluation tool was completed.

In phase 1, 2 pictures of each wound (n = 61) were taken in 31 patients. Only moderate to almost perfect interrater correlation was observed in phase 1. Phase 2 of the study was undertaken and modified to improve our results to a range which the authors considered a more appropriate threshold for adequate reproducible wound evaluation. Participants were limited to 2 vascular surgeons, 1 plastic surgeon, and the resident. In phase 2, 1 picture was taken of each wound (n = 56) in 37 patients. There was prestudy instruction as to the correct use of the wound evaluation data tool and strict adherence to the requirement that the vascular surgeon and the resident complete data sheets at the time of examination.

Data Analysis

Quantification of the variables assessed from the photographs were coded dichotomously (yes/no) for eschar, exposed bone, cellulitis, purulence, and swelling. Ordinal coding was used for granulation into percent intervals (0–25, 26–50, 51–75, and 76–100), color (pale, pink, and red/bleeding), and depth (1–2 mm, 3–4 mm, and 5 mm or more) \( \kappa \) statistics measuring agreement between the resident, vascular attending, and plastic attending were then calculated using methods defined by Siegel and Castellan.12

**RESULTS**

In all wound evaluations, there was absolute agreement by the attending and resident surgeons that the digital images were valid representations of the wounds (Fig. 2).

Phase 1 \( \kappa \) statistics can be found in Table 1; phase 2 \( \kappa \) statistics can be found in Table 2. The numeric value of the \( \kappa \) statistic was interpreted using the scale of agreement defined by Landis and Koch,13 where \( \kappa \) values less than 0.00 indicate poor agreement, and values increasing from 0.00 to 1.00 in 0.20 increments are assessed as slight, fair, moderate, substantial, and almost perfect, respectively.

Phase 1 data indicated lower levels of agreement than would be universally acceptable. Only 1 \( \kappa \) value was in the almost perfect range (eschar \( \kappa = 0.871 \)). All other \( \kappa \) statistics were in the substantial range of 0.60 to 0.80, except for purulence and granulation, which showed moderate levels of agreement (0.502 and 0.561, respectively).

All phase 2 results were in the almost perfect range from 0.80 to 1.00. Only “depth” was less than 0.80 and was rated as substantial agreement (0.6994). Examination of the 95% confidence intervals indicates the population agreement for the “granulation” variable could be in the substantial range because the lower bound of the interval is less than

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Name:</th>
<th>MR#:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Surgery:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eschar</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed bone</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulitis</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purulence</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Swelling</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulation</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Pale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is digital image representative of patient’s condition? YES □ NO □

Attending Physician: _______________________

Date: _______________________

*Pitting is defined as a depression in response to maximum thumb pressure applied for 10 seconds not over bony prominence within 1 cm of wound margin.

++ If it persists >10 sec
++ If it persists >10 sec
NA Cannot perform

FIGURE 1. Wound assessment data sheet.
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Phase 1 $\kappa$ Results and Interpretation (n = 61 Wounds)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>$\kappa$</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of $\kappa$</th>
<th>Landis Agreement Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eschar</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>0.787–0.955</td>
<td>Almost perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed bone</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>0.687–0.886</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulitis</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.538–0.776</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purulence</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.377–0.627</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.529–0.769</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulation</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.436–0.686</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulation color</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.549–0.785</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.542–0.800</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Digital image representative of patient’s condition? Yes = 100%.

DISCUSSION

Telemedicine is currently used in regional centers throughout Europe and is gaining increasing acceptance in the United States, despite potential security issues. In surgery, it has been particularly valuable in facilitating trauma treatment and resuscitation from remote sites.

Photographs have been used in medicine for illustration and documentation purposes for over 100 years. The 35-mm slide has long been the gold standard of medical photography. The typical 35-mm Kodachrome slide contains approximately 4096 by 2736 pixels per frame. In 1974, Zarem described guidelines for plastic surgery photography. Roth et al determined that digital photography was equivalent to the previously accepted 35 mm slide photography. Galdino et al defined standards for digital photography in 2001. Due to the abilities of digital camera sensors, we feel that the improved definition produced by 3 megapixels provides sufficient technological advantage without incurring undue cost.

The ease of transmission of digital images offers this technology as a potential tool for accurate assessment of an image at a distance in a timely fashion. Our study concurred with that of Kim et al that digital imaging was an accurate modality for wound evaluation when compared with bedside examination. Specifically, the authors suggested that agreement of telemedicine is not always high but may not be significantly less than actual interphysician agreement at the bedside. The sine qua non of this process is the ability of independent observers to both reliably transmit and interpret information.

Phase 1 of our study revealed reasonable agreement between assessors. Standards for reliability requirements vary, depending upon the trait being observed. Thompson suggests that reliability in the estimates in the range of 0.5 to 0.6 can be considered acceptable. Nunnally and Bernstein argue that estimates at or above 0.70 will suffice.

Our $\kappa$ statistics were found to be in the range of those reported by other investigators, consistent with the positions of Thompson and Nunnally and Bernstein. However, it was our contention that these levels remained below those which would be acceptable in an optimal physician-patient relationship to render appropriate medical care; therefore, values at or above 0.80 or 0.90 in the almost-perfect agreement range would be required. In debriefing the participants in this
phase of the study, it was felt that reproducibility could be improved with the maturation of surgical resident over the study course, the requirement that all data tools be completed at the time of actual examination (rather than at the end of rounds or day), and by providing strict definition of terms used in the data tool (eg, if bone was present at base of wound but completely covered by granulation tissue, it should not fall into category of “exposed bone” since technically it was not visible).

Given the ever-expanding demands to provide services with limited resources, quality care and practice efficiency might be enhanced by telemedicine. As early as 1988, Wirthlin et al explored the capability of telemedicine and digital photography for remote wound diagnosis using an early-generation digital camera (Kodak DC 50, 756 × 504 pixels).

When a brief history was provided to the remote surgeons, ranged from 0.12 for erythema to 0.70 for ischemia. In 2004, Jones et al demonstrated that the depth of burn wounds could be diagnosed with reasonable consistency when the same evaluator compared his bedside examination to his interpretation of digital images at a later time (κ scores of 0.53–0.60). Similarly, Braun and his dermatology colleagues evaluated leg ulcers using mobile telephones with photographic capabilities (Nokia 7650, 640 × 480 pixels). They, too, obtained good agreement, ranging from 0.49 to 0.94, with a mean of 0.75.

In a 2003 pilot study involving 17 patients and 20 wounds, Halstead et al suggested that teleassessments of wounds might suffice in determining the need to refer a patient to a specialist or for making treatment decisions. “Teleconsultation” using mobile camera phones has recently been shown to be both feasible and valuable in the diagnosis and triage of digital soft tissue injuries in Taiwan.

In this study, we were able to show excellent interrater agreement (κ ranges from 0.6994 to 0.9881, with a mean of 0.9178), despite the involvement of different specialists and surgeons of different levels of training. This was accom-
plished by providing appropriate training of participants and utilizing technology which provides enhanced resolution when compared with previous studies. This level of correlation allows for a high degree of confidence in the use of this technology, which is so important, given our need to provide excellent patient care, as well as those imposed by the current demands of the medicolegal environment.

Digital technology allows the practitioner to be the recipient of visual data without the inefficiencies of repeated office visits or travel to multiple sites. Patients may be seen and a wound examined via a photograph accompanied by a straightforward assessment tool or interactive telephone communication. As recently determined by Galdino et al. and supported by this study, if a JPEG, 1-megabyte file size is used, the photograph is equal to physical examination for the purposes of wound assessment. However, some wounds are very difficult to assess based on purely visual cues. For example, a stage IV pressure ulcer might have only a small skin wound but might have significant undermining and deep necrosis. Although the visual information allows a remote examiner to appreciate the qualities of the wound, the use of a data tool facilitates both the remote examiner’s appreciation of these specific characteristics as well as longitudinal evaluation of wound progress and outcome data (Fig. 3). This practice is currently being used in our wound centers; specifically, measurements and characteristics of the wound are documented at each visit.

Interestingly, the newest generation of personal desktop assistants (PDAs) allows direct data entry and also has a 3-megapixel camera from which patient data may be downloaded into a central database. In our healthcare network, we currently employ telemedicine in multiple venues. In the outpatient setting, it is used to assess conditions such as congestive heart failure and fetal distress. Further, we have recently established a remote intensive care unit utilizing MetaVision to oversee critically ill patients in 2 geographically distinct locations. Finally, we have begun to link remote wound care programs at distant hospitals to our center to augment the expertise that they can provide. We have found digital imaging of wounds to be an important component of a complete telemedicine program.

This study by no means suggests that digital technology should undermine the traditional patient-physician relationship. However, with an aging population with significant comorbidities that will place increasing demands on our healthcare system to provide high-quality care in an outpatient setting, new processes and technologies must be employed due to limitations in resources, including the nursing and physician workforce. Since we now have the capability to obtain high-quality digital images and relay them in real time, digital imagery is one such tool that can help meet this need.

Our study corroborates that digital images of wounds accurately reflect a bedside evaluation and demonstrates that a remote evaluator can precisely assess a wound based on these images and a data tool when all providers are appropriately trained. This is significant because it will allow practitioners of various backgrounds and training to be involved in various aspects of a wound program while providing very high levels of reproducibility and quality. Ultimately, as this technology gains acceptance, it could be used as a regional resource in underserved areas.

Unfortunately, one of the major challenges to telemedicine is the lack of universal recognition and support by the federal government and insurance carriers; the implications of this on the more universal implementation of telemedicine are unclear at this time.

CONCLUSION

Given the advances in technology that have occurred during the last 10 years, such as increased computer speed, increased data transfer rates, and affordability of cameras and computers, there are fewer impediments to the use of digital images for medical practice. With the ever-increasing stresses placed on the medical system to provide quality and timely care, new technologies must be implemented. This study demonstrated that the remote assessment of wounds using digital imagery is equivalent to actual physical examination of routine wounds. Therefore, in a multidisciplinary system which standardizes wound evaluation with provider training and the use of a data tool, telemedicine can be a valuable modality in wound assessment and practice efficiency. These data support the concept that it can also be considered an equivalent method of wound evaluation and can be a useful modality in providing specialized care to areas of limited resources.
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